

Wilby Parish Council
www.wilbyparishcouncil.gov.uk

MINUTES

08th October 2020

Chairman:
Stephen Borrett
Tel: (01933 276 290)

Clerk:
Vicky Smith

(01604 812239) Email: clerk@wilbyparishcouncil.gov.uk

Minutes of the extraordinary Parish Council Meeting held at 7.30pm on 8th October 2020 via Zoom.
Present: Cllrs Borrett, Thompson, Capperauld, Harland, Hodges and Stevenson and Mrs Vicky Smith, Clerk.

027/20	Opening Procedures a.) There were no apologies received before the meeting b.) There were no interests declared by members c.) The minutes dated 21 st September 2020 were approved as an accurate record of the meeting																							
028/20	Public Time: There were no members of the public present and therefore no comments recorded																							
029/20	<p>To consider and agree the council's response to the consultation regarding Government's white paper 'Planning for the Future'.</p> <p>RESOLVED: The council agreed the following answers should be submitted:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Q2. Do you get involved with planning decisions in your local area?</td> <td>A2. Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q4. What are your top three priorities for planning in your local area?</td> <td>A4. Green sustainability; robust building control mechanism: control of impact on local infrastructure</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q5. Do you agree that Local Plans should be simplified in line with our proposals?</td> <td>A5. Yes but all plans and subsequent development must be subject to close scrutiny by the local planning team</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q8.(a) Do you agree that a standard method for establishing housing requirements (that takes into account constraints) should be introduced?</td> <td>A8. Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q9(a). Do you agree that there should be automatic permission in principle for areas for substantial development (Growth areas) with faster routes for detailed consent?</td> <td>A9(a). No as time is needed to fully assess each development</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q9(b). Do you agree with our proposals above for the consent arrangements for Renewal and Protected areas?</td> <td>A9(b). Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q13. (a) Do you agree that Neighbourhood Plans should be retained in the reformed planning system?</td> <td>A13(a). Yes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q13 (b) How can the neighbourhood planning process be developed to meet our objectives, such as in the use of digital tools and reflecting community preferences about design?</td> <td>A13(b). Technological solutions welcome</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q16. Sustainability is at the heart of our proposals. What is your priority for sustainability in your area?</td> <td>A16. Avoiding any adverse impact on existing resources which must, as a "quid pro quo" be enhanced to meet increased demand.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q21. When new development happens in your area, what is your priority for what comes with it?</td> <td>A21. Addressing any impacts on local infrastructure e.g. long-term traffic volumes and short term site traffic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Q22. (a) Should the Government replace the Community Infrastructure Levy and Section 106 planning obligations with a new consolidated</td> <td>A22(a). In principle yes, but funds released must be sufficient to meet additional local needs identified</td> </tr> </table>	Q2. Do you get involved with planning decisions in your local area?	A2. Yes	Q4. What are your top three priorities for planning in your local area?	A4. Green sustainability; robust building control mechanism: control of impact on local infrastructure	Q5. Do you agree that Local Plans should be simplified in line with our proposals?	A5. Yes but all plans and subsequent development must be subject to close scrutiny by the local planning team	Q8.(a) Do you agree that a standard method for establishing housing requirements (that takes into account constraints) should be introduced?	A8. Yes	Q9(a). Do you agree that there should be automatic permission in principle for areas for substantial development (Growth areas) with faster routes for detailed consent?	A9(a). No as time is needed to fully assess each development	Q9(b). Do you agree with our proposals above for the consent arrangements for Renewal and Protected areas?	A9(b). Yes	Q13. (a) Do you agree that Neighbourhood Plans should be retained in the reformed planning system?	A13(a). Yes	Q13 (b) How can the neighbourhood planning process be developed to meet our objectives, such as in the use of digital tools and reflecting community preferences about design?	A13(b). Technological solutions welcome	Q16. Sustainability is at the heart of our proposals. What is your priority for sustainability in your area?	A16. Avoiding any adverse impact on existing resources which must, as a "quid pro quo" be enhanced to meet increased demand.	Q21. When new development happens in your area, what is your priority for what comes with it?	A21. Addressing any impacts on local infrastructure e.g. long-term traffic volumes and short term site traffic	Q22. (a) Should the Government replace the Community Infrastructure Levy and Section 106 planning obligations with a new consolidated	A22(a). In principle yes, but funds released must be sufficient to meet additional local needs identified	
Q2. Do you get involved with planning decisions in your local area?	A2. Yes																							
Q4. What are your top three priorities for planning in your local area?	A4. Green sustainability; robust building control mechanism: control of impact on local infrastructure																							
Q5. Do you agree that Local Plans should be simplified in line with our proposals?	A5. Yes but all plans and subsequent development must be subject to close scrutiny by the local planning team																							
Q8.(a) Do you agree that a standard method for establishing housing requirements (that takes into account constraints) should be introduced?	A8. Yes																							
Q9(a). Do you agree that there should be automatic permission in principle for areas for substantial development (Growth areas) with faster routes for detailed consent?	A9(a). No as time is needed to fully assess each development																							
Q9(b). Do you agree with our proposals above for the consent arrangements for Renewal and Protected areas?	A9(b). Yes																							
Q13. (a) Do you agree that Neighbourhood Plans should be retained in the reformed planning system?	A13(a). Yes																							
Q13 (b) How can the neighbourhood planning process be developed to meet our objectives, such as in the use of digital tools and reflecting community preferences about design?	A13(b). Technological solutions welcome																							
Q16. Sustainability is at the heart of our proposals. What is your priority for sustainability in your area?	A16. Avoiding any adverse impact on existing resources which must, as a "quid pro quo" be enhanced to meet increased demand.																							
Q21. When new development happens in your area, what is your priority for what comes with it?	A21. Addressing any impacts on local infrastructure e.g. long-term traffic volumes and short term site traffic																							
Q22. (a) Should the Government replace the Community Infrastructure Levy and Section 106 planning obligations with a new consolidated	A22(a). In principle yes, but funds released must be sufficient to meet additional local needs identified																							

Signature/Initials.....

	Infrastructure Levy, which is charged as a fixed proportion of development value above a set threshold?	by the Parish Council, without any minimum threshold being set	
	Q22. (b) Should the Infrastructure Levy rates be set nationally at a single rate, set nationally at an area-specific rate, or set locally?	A22(b). Locally as far as possible using national guidelines	
	Q22. (c) Should the Infrastructure Levy aim to capture the same amount of value overall, or more value, to support greater investment in infrastructure, affordable housing and local communities?	A22(c). Greater investment as more local needs require addressing	
	Q.24 (a) Do you agree that we should aim to secure at least the same amount of affordable housing under the Infrastructure Levy and as much on-site affordable provision, as at present?	A24 (a) Yes with a rigorous approach to ensure compliance is monitored and target numbers are delivered	
030/20	To consider and agree on the online banking provider RESOLVED: Clerk to proceed and open an on-line banking facility with Unity Trust Bank without delay with as many willing signatories as possible.		CLERK
031/20	To consider and agree WPC's response to BCW's consultation on Public Space Protection Order RESOLVED: The council agreed there were comments it wished to make.		
	There being no other business, the meeting closed at 8.15pm.		

Date of next ordinary Parish Council Meeting, Monday 16th November 2020 at 7:30 pm via Zoom

Chairman.....

Date.....

N.B. These minutes are in draft format until formally approved and signed by the Chairman

Signature/Initials.....